



## PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF CEMENT(OPC)BY METAKAOLIN IN CONCRETE: A RESEARCH

Prabhat Kumar Srivastava<sup>1</sup>, Prince Singh<sup>2</sup>, Rahul Singh<sup>3</sup>,  
Raj Kumar Sharma<sup>4</sup>, Ashutosh Pandey<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1234</sup> Undergraduate Civil Engineering Department, Buddha Institute of Technology

<sup>5</sup> Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Buddha Institute of Technology

### ABSTRACT

Cement concrete is the most extensively used construction material. Maintenance and repair of concrete structures is a growing problem involving significant expenditure. As a result carried out worldwide, it has been made possible to process the material to satisfy more stringent performance requirements, especially long-term durability. HPC is the latest development in concrete. It has become very popular and is being used in many prestigious projects such as Nuclear power projects, flyovers multistory buildings. When using HPC, the addition of supplementary materials in cement has dramatically increased along with the development of concrete industry, due to the consideration of cost saving, energy saving, environmental concerns both in terms of damage caused by the extraction of raw materials and carbon dioxide emission during cement manufacture have brought pressures to reduce cement consumption. Metakaolin looks to be a promising supplementary cementitious material for high performance concrete. Properties of concrete with metakaolin is mostly preferred additives in high performance concrete. A possible lower cost, due to large availability in our country itself may be advantages to metakaolin usage in HPC. The substitution proportion of metakaolin is to be used was 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% by the weight of cement. To make this cubes and cylinders to determine the strength and durability of concrete of it. The results indicate that the replacing mix upto till last percent has to noted and effect on strength in comparing with mixer without metakaolin.

**Keywords:** Metakaolin, Concrete mix, Compressive strength, Split Tensile strength.

### INTRODUCTION

Metakaolin is a pozzolanic additive/product which can provide many specific features. Metakaolin is available in many different varieties and qualities. The purity will define the binding capacity or free lime. Some of them also provide special reactivity. Metakaolin is a valuable admixture for concrete and or cement applications. Usually 8% - 20% (by weight) of Portland cement replaced by metakaolin. Such a concrete exhibits favorable engineering properties. The pozzolanic reaction starts soon and continues between 7 to 28 days. For the preliminary investigation, metakaolin and cement was subjected to physical and chemical analyses to determine whether they are in compliance with the standard use. The experimental program was designed to investigate



metakaolin as a partial replacement with cement was done at 5%, 10%, 15% . The specimen was casted with M60 grade of concrete with different replacement levels of metakaolin.

## 1. LITERATURE REVIEW

**Jian Tong Ding (2002)** investigated the MK or SF on the workability, strength, shrinkage and resistance to chloride penetration of concrete were investigated and compared in this study. For the given mixture proportions, MK offers better workability than does SF. As the replacement level was increased, the strength of the MK – modified concrete increased at all ages. The increase in the strength was similar to that of the SF – modified concrete. The incorporation of the both MK and SF in concrete can reduce the free drying shrinkage and restrained shrinkage cracking width. The initial cracking appeared earlier in the SF- and MK- in concrete can reduce the chloride diffusion rate significantly, with the SF concrete performing somewhat better.

**Nova John (2013)** investigated the cement replacement levels were 5%,10%,15%,20% by weight for metakaolin. The strength of all metakaolin admixed concrete mixes over shoot the strength development of concrete. Mix with 15% metakaolin is superior to all other mixes. The increase in metakaolin content improves the compressive strength, split tensile strength and flexural strength upto 15% replacement. The result encourages the use of metakaolin, as pozzolanic material for partial cement replacement in producing high strength concrete. The inclusion of metakaolin results in faster early age strength development of concrete. The utilization of supplementary cementitious material like metakaolin concrete can compensate for environmental, technical and economic issues caused by cement production.

**Dhinakaran (2012)** studied the strength increases by MK concrete is effective only at the early age of concrete and in the long term the strength increase is only marginal. The increase in compressive strength for MK concrete was greater especially at higher water cement ratios (i.e., 0.4 and 0.5) and hence more suitable for higher w/cm ratios. From the studies an optimum percentage of MK was found to be 10% for all w/cm ratios except for 0.32 and for 0.32 it was 15%. MK concrete higher increase in strength at early ages beyond 28 days it was found to be less than 10%. The maximum compressive strength of 59.25 N/mm<sup>2</sup> was observed at 0.4 w/cm with 10% MK. Addition of MK reduced the pH values, but the reduction is insignificant, since the pH values are still above 11.5, which will be helpful for maintaining the steel in a passive state itself. The depth of penetration of chloride ions for MK concrete is much lesser than control concrete. The minimum rate of reduction of chloride penetration depth for MK admixed concrete were arrived as 78%, 38%, 25% and 25% for w/cm ratios 0.32, 0.35, 0.40 and 0.50 respectively. The maximum.

**Patil (2012)** studied the compressive strength of concrete increases with increase in HRM content up to 7.5%. Thereafter there is slight decline in strength for 10%, 12% and 15% due excess amount of HRM which reduces the w/b ratio and delay pozzolanic activity. The higher strength in case of 7.5% addition is due to sufficient amount of HRM available to react with calcium hydroxide which accelerates hydration of cement and forms C-S-H gel. The 7.5% addition of high reactivity metakaolin in cement is the optimum percentage enhancing the compressive strength at 28 days by 7.73% when compared with the control mix specimen. The 7.5% addition of



high reactivity metakaolin in cement is enhanced the resistance to chloride attack. The compressive strength of concrete incorporated with 7.5% HRM is reduced only by 3.85% as compared with the reduction of strength of control mix specimen is by 4.88%. The 7.5% addition of high reactivity metakaolin in cement is also enhanced the resistance to sulfate attack. The compressive strength of concrete incorporated with 7.5% HRM is reduced only by 6.01% as compared with the reduction of strength of control mix specimen by 9.29%. The present study deals with the compressive strength, split tensile strength and flexural strength for cement replacement by metakaolin based concrete.

## 2. MATERIAL USED

**2.1 Cement:** OPC of 53 Grade conforming to IS:12269-1987 was used in the investigation. The specific gravity of cement was 3.10

**2.2 Coarse Aggregate:** Crushed stone metal with a maximum size of 20 mm from a local source having the specific gravity of 2.7 conforming IS383-1970 was used.


**2.3 Fine Aggregate:** Locally available river sand passing through 4.75mm IS sieve conforming to grading zone-II of IS383-1970 was used. The specific gravity of fine aggregate was 2.54.

**2.4 Meta kaolin** Meta kaolin is not a by-product. It is obtained by the calcinations of pure or refined Kaolinite clay at a temperature between 650°C and 850°C, followed by grinding to achieve a finesse of 700-900 m<sup>2</sup>/kg. It is a high quality pozzolanic material, which is blended with cement in order to improve the durability of concrete. When used in concrete it will fill the void space between cement particles resulting in a more impermeable concrete. Meta kaolin, is a relatively new material in the concrete industry, is effective in increasing strength, reducing sulphate attack and improving air-void network. Pozzolanic reactions change the microstructure of concrete and chemistry of hydration products by consuming the released calcium hydroxide (CH) and production of additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), resulting in an increased strength and reduced porosity and therefore improved durability. The formation and properties of Meta kaolin are shown in below. The specimen kept immerse in water for 7 and 28days.The chemical content of meta kaolin.

TABLE 1.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION	CEMENT (%)	METAKAOLIN (%)
Silica(SiO <sub>2</sub> )	22	54.3
Alumina(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	5.5	38.3
Calcium Oxide(CaO)	63	0.39
Ferric Oxide(Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	4.4	4.28
Magnesium Oxide(MgO)	1.26	0.08
Potassium Oxide(k <sub>2</sub> O)	0.48	0.50
Sulphuric Anhydride(SO <sub>4</sub> )	1.92	0.22
LOI	1.3	0.68
Specific Gravity	3.15	2.5

Physical Form	Fine Powder	Powder
Colour	Grey	White



**2.5 Super Plasticizer** Poly-carboxylate Ether Super plasticizer obtained from Chemcon tech SYS was used .It conforms to IS 9103 – 1999 and its specific gravity of 1.2.

**2.6 Water** Fresh portable water is free from concentration of acid and organic substance is used for mixing the concrete and curing.

**2.7 Mix Proportions** Trial mixtures were prepared to obtain target strength more than 60 MPa for the control mixture at 28 days and the w/b ratio for all the mixtures were kept at 0.32. The details of the mixture (MK0,MK5, MK10, and MK15) were employed to examine the influence of low w/b ratio on concretes containing MK on the mechanical and durability properties. The slump of fresh concrete found as 105 to 110mm. The mix proportions for conventional and volume based partial replacement OPC by Metakaolin presented.

TABLE2.

INGREDIENTS	OPC(0%MK)	5%MK	10%MK	15%MK
Cement	510	485	435	410
Metakaolin	0	25	75	100
Fine Aggregates	806	806	806	806
Coarse Aggregate	972	972	972	972
Superplasticizer	3.0	3.6	3.8	4.0
Slum(mm)	120	115	105	100
Water	162	162	162	162

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL PERFORM

#### 3.1 Casting of Specimens

The test program considered the cast and testing of concrete specimens of cube (150mm) and (150x300mm). The specimen was cast M60 grade concrete using OPC, Natural River sand and crushed stone (20mm 4.75mm) with Metakaolin. Each three numbers of specimens made to take the average value. The Specimens demoulded after 24hrs. The specimens were allowed to the curing periods.

#### 3.2 Testing of Specimen

Testing of specimen was shown



Fig 3.1. The Compressive Strength

#### 3.2.1 Compressive Strength

For each mix, twenty four number of cubes of size 150mm were cast (7days and 28days) and tested using Compression Testing Machine(CTM). The specimen placed on the platform of the CTM. The load applied



gradually until the failure stage. The ultimate load noted and calculated the compressive strength of corresponding specimen.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The test results of concrete specimen discussed as below:

##### 4.1 Compressive Strength

The Compressive Strength compared to control specimen with various percentages of Metakaolin. Compressive Strength results of specimens presented in Table 3. The seven day Compressive Strength varied between 45 and 55MPa. The 28 day strength varied between 61 and 73MPa. The 20% replacement MK mixture exhibited lower strengths comparatively than the other MK percentages. All the concrete s including the control achieved their target strength of 60MPa at 28 days and all the concretes achieved strength of more than 70MPa. Fig.3 presents the relation between Compressive Strength and MK percentages at 7 and 28 days. The highest for the MK15 mixtures achieving strength of 72.7MPa at 28days. This clearly shows the replacement level of 15% was the optimum Compressive Strength is concerned. After 28 days the compressive strength for MK 5% increases in 4.36%,  $[(64.6/61.9 \times 100) - 100]$  when compared to control specimen. The compressive strength for 10%, 15% and 20% increases in 13.73%, 17.45% and 12.44% respectively. MK 15% increases in higher strength, when compared to all other mixes. But MK 20% decreases in 4.26% from MK 15%. So MK 15% is the best proportion for add in cement.

##### 4.2 Split Tensile Strength

From the results Split Tensile Strength also exhibited the highest strength at MK15 mixture. The Split Tensile strength for MK 5% increases in 8.82%, when compared to control specimen. The Split Tensile strength for MK 10%, 15% and 20% increases in 14.70%, 20.56% and 11.76% respectively. MK 15% increases in higher strength, when compared to all other mixes. But MK 20% decreases in 7.31% from MK15%. So MK 15% is the best proportion for add in cement. The split tensile strength and various mix concrete test values are presents in Table 4 and variation of split tensile strength.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. From the present investigation on the effect of partial replacement of cement with Metakaolin in concrete, the following conclusions were drawn;
2. The strength of all Metakaolin concrete mixes over shoot the strength of OPC.
3. 15% cement replacement by Metakaolin is superior to all other mixes.
4. The increase in Metakaolin content improves the compressive strength and split tensile strength up to 15% cement replacement.
5. The results encourage the use of Metakaolin, as a pozzolanic material for partial replacement in producing high performance concrete.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their thanks to the Greek General Secretariat of Research and Technology for the partial financial support of the research, under the program: The role of limestone on the deterioration of cement constructions. Problems arising from the use of limestone cement and/or calcareous aggregates, joint research and technology programs, Britain-Greece, 1999–2001.

## REFERENCES

1. NEVILLE A.M., Properties of concrete, Addison Wesley Longman Limited, 4th and final edition, England 1996, 844 pp.
2. CHANGLING, H, MAKAVICKY, E, OSBACK B, Thermal stability and pozzolanic activity of calcined kaolin, Applied Clay Science, 9, 1994, pp 165-187.
3. DUNSTER A.M., PARSONAGE J.R., Thomas M.J.K., Journal of Materials Science, 28, 1993, pp 1345-1351.
4. DUBOIS, J, MURAT, M, , AMROUNE, A, CARBONNEAU, X, GARBON, R, Applied Clay Science, 10, 1995, pp 187-192.
5. KRISTOF, E, JUHASZ, AZ, VASSANYI, I, The effect of mechanical treatment on the crystal structure and thermal behavior of kaolinite, Clays and Clay Minerals, 41, 1993, pp 608-612.
6. MITRA, G.B., BHATTACHERJEE, S, X-Ray Diffraction studies on the transformation of kaolinite into metakaolin: I Variability of interlayer spacings, The American Mineralogist, 54, 1969, pp. 1409-1418.
7. PIGA, L, Thermogravimetry of a kaolinite-alunite ore, Thermochemica Acta, 265, 1995, 177-187.
8. FOLDVARI, M, Kaolinite-genetic and thermoanalytical parameters , J. Therm. Anal., 48, 1997, pp 107-119.
9. BALEC, V, MURAT, M, The emanation thermal analysis of kaolinite clay minerals, Thermochemica Acta, 282, 1996, pp 385-397.
10. SHA, W, PEREIRA, B, Differential scanning calorimetry study of ordinary Portland cement paste containing metakaolin and theoretical approach of metakaolin activity, Cem Concr Comp, 23, 6, 2001, pp. 455-461.
11. KALOUMENOU, M, BADOGIANNIS, E, TSIVILIS, S, KAKALI, G, The effect of kaolinite particle size on its conversion to metakaolin, Journal of Thermal analysis, 56, 1999, pp 901-907.
12. KAKALI, G, PERRAKI, T, TSIVILIS, S, BADOGIANNIS, E, Thermal treatment of kaolin: the effect of mineralogy on the pozzolanic activity, Applied Clay Science, 20/1-2, 2001, pp 73-80.
13. BADOGIANNIS, E, KALOUMENOU, M, KAKALI, G, TSIVILIS, S, 1st Int. Conf. On the Chemical Sciences and Industry, Halikidiki, Greece, 1998, I, pp 456.
14. SKANLY J.P., Materials science of concrete I, The American Ceramic Society Inc, Westerville, OH, 1989, pp 127-128, 163-179
15. ROUMAIN J.C., SARKAR S.L., "Cements of the 21st Century", Cement and concrete technology in the 2000 s, Istanbul, 2000, Vol. 1, pp 29-42.
16. MEHTA P.K., "Durability of Concrete-Fifty Years of Progress", 2nd International Conference, Montreal, SP 126-1, 1991, pp 1-31.



17. COLLEPARDI, M, A holistic approach to concrete durability-role of superplasticizers, Infrastructure Regeneration and Rehabilitation Improving the Quality of Life Through Better Construction - A Vision for the Next Millennium, Sheffield, UK, 1999, pp. 15-25.
18. KHATIB J.M., WILD, S, Pore size distribution of metakaolin paste, Cement and Concrete Research, 26, 1996, pp 1545-1553.
19. COLEMAN N.J., PAGE C.L., Aspects of the pore solution chemistry of hydrated cement pastes containing metakaolin, Cem. Concr. Res., 27, 1997, pp 147-154.
20. KHATIB J.M., WILD, S, Sulphate Resistance of Metakaolin Mortar, Cement and Concrete Research, 28, 1998, pp 83-92.
21. RAMLOCHAN, T, THOMAS, M, GRUBER K.A., The effect of metakaolin on alkalisilica reaction in concrete, Cement and Concrete Research, 30, 2000, pp 339- 344.
22. GALLIAS J.L., KARA-ALI, R, BIGAS J.P., The effect of fine mineral admixtures on water requirement of cement pastes, Cement and Concrete Research, 30, 2000, pp 1543- 1549.
23. BROOKS J.J., MEGAT JOHARI M.A., MAZLOOM, M, Effect of admixtures on the setting times of high strength concrete, Cement & Concrete Composites, 22, 2000, pp 293-301.
24. SABIR, B.B., WILD, S, BAI, J, Metakaolin and calcined clays as pozzolans for concrete: a review, Cem Concr Comp, 23, 6, 2001, pp. 441-454.